

**AST SPECIAL TOPICS: EXOPLANETS
MISC. LECTURE NOTES: SPRING 2015**

INSTRUCTORS: JOEL KASTNER & MICHAEL RICHMOND

WEEK 5: GIANT PLANET FORMATION & PLANET-DISK INTERACTIONS

- Giant planet formation: overview of D’Angelo, Durison, & Lissauer chapter in *Exoplanets* (p. 319)
- Two “competing” models: (1) *core accretion* and (2) *gravitational instability*
 - (1) **Core accretion** begins w/ terrestrial-planet-formation-like process — buildup of planetesimals from dust — and is followed by accretion of gaseous envelope from protoplanetary disk.
 - Dust grains coagulate into larger particles, which settle to disk midplane
 - Grain coagulation process may be accelerated if grains develop “mantles” (coatings) of volatile ices (H₂O, CO)...hence observers are in hot pursuit of evidence for “snow lines” in disks
 - cm-sized particles eventually (somehow!) aggregate into km-size bodies: *planetesimals*
 - planetesimals grow into *embryos* via pair-wise collisions
 - Big Mamma embryos tend to sweep up all smaller planetesimals in their orbital region
 - When escape velocity from surface of embryo exceeds local thermal speed of disk gas, the gas can accrete onto the embryo — we would then call this embryo a giant planet *core*, and the accreted gas begins to form an atmosphere, and eventually, its *envelope*
 - If protoplanet’s radiation trapping becomes efficient, then it can’t inhibit further accretion; pressure no longer balances gravitational force, and the envelope contracts rapidly → envelope “collapse”
 - above “feedback loop” facilitates rapid accretion; planet is now in “run-away accretion” phase, regulated only by available disk gas in its vicinity

- so perhaps for Jupiter, Saturn lots of disk gas left after envelope collapse...whereas for Uranus, Neptune, very little left after envelope collapse
- even if they open a large gap in disk as a consequence of runaway accretion, giant planets can migrate, so can continue to slurp up additional disk gas

(2) **Gravitational instability** (GI) models of giant planet formation in dusty molecular disks were developed via analogy to star formation in dusty molecular clouds: gas-phase fragmentation of the disk into bound clumps (Boss 1997).

- GIs build out of local perturbations in steady-state disk conditions (density, temperature)
- Stability to perturbations parameterized through Toomre Q :

$$Q = \frac{c\kappa}{\pi G \Sigma}$$

where c is local sound speed, κ is oscillation frequency of a test particle or parcel of gas about its equilibrium position — for a disk, $\kappa = \Omega$, i.e., the Keplerian angular velocity — and Σ is local surface density.

If $Q < 1$ then the disk is locally unstable to collapse.

- Conditions are most favorable for small Q in massive disks
- Conditions for small Q are also favorable in outer regions of massive disks
- GI models predict rapid planet formation may occur at large radii...*both predictions supported by HL Tau disk image (age of system < 1 Myr)?*:
<https://public.nrao.edu/news/pressreleases/planet-formation-alma>

TABLE 1. **Comparison: giant planet formation models**

	Core Accretion	Gravitational Instability
Timescale	Myr ($10^4 - 10^5$ orbital periods)	kyr (tens of orbital periods)
Disk masses	MMSN ($M_d \sim 0.01M_\odot$) enough?	massive ($M_d \gtrsim 0.1M_\odot$)
planet formation regions	a few AU to tens of AU	can extend to hundreds of AU

- If time left: walk through Kley & Nelson’s ARAA review, “Planet-Disk Interaction and Orbital Evolution” (Kley & Nelson 2012, ARAA, 50, 211):
<http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125523>