# A geometric measurement of H<sub>0</sub> by the Megamaser Cosmology Project

Image credit: Sophia Dagnello, NRAO/AUI/NSF

**Dom Pesce** 

In collaboration with: Jim Braatz (PI), Mark Reid, Jim Condon, Feng Gao, Christian Henkel, Violette Impellizzeri, Cheng-Yu Kuo, Anca Constantin, Jenny Greene, Lei Hao, Adam Riess, Dan Scolnic, Fred Lo

KEP

June 24, 2020 ESO Conference: H<sub>0</sub> 2020

NTER FOR ASTROPHYSICS HARVARD & SMITHSONIAN

## AGN accretion disk megamasers



The warm (T  $\approx$  1000 K), dense (n  $\approx$  10<sup>9</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) molecular gas in AGN accretion disks on ~pc scales contains water

One rotational transition of the water molecule, with a rest frequency of ~22 GHz, can sustain maser emission under these physical conditions

The name "megamaser" comes from their large luminosities:

- Galactic masers  $L \lesssim 10^{\text{-4}} \, \text{L}_{\odot}$
- Megamasers  $L \gtrsim 10^2 L_{\odot}$



MCP

The galaxy NGC 4258 hosts the first discovered disk megamaser system

First observed by Claussen et al. (1984)





First observed by Claussen et al. (1984); breakthrough in understanding with Nakai et al. (1993)





First observed by Claussen et al. (1984); breakthrough in understanding with Nakai et al. (1993)

VLBI maps of the system reveal a nearly linear distribution of maser spots





First observed by Claussen et al. (1984); breakthrough in understanding with Nakai et al. (1993)

VLBI maps of the system reveal a nearly linear distribution of maser spots, and that they trace out a nearly perfect Keplerian rotation curve





First observed by Claussen et al. (1984); breakthrough in understanding with Nakai et al. (1993)

VLBI maps of the system reveal a nearly linear distribution of maser spots, and that they trace out a nearly perfect Keplerian rotation curve

The interpretation is that the masers reside in an edge-on disk orbiting a central point mass





#### Disk megamasers – basic model





## Disk megamasers – basic model



velocity vectors





## Disk megamasers – basic model



acceleration vectors







Observed (on-sky) position:

$$\theta = \theta_r \sin(\varphi)$$





Observed (on-sky) position: Observed (line-of-sight) velocity:  $v = v_r \sin(\varphi)$ 

 $\theta = \theta_r \sin(\varphi)$ 



$$v_r = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{\theta_r D}}$$



Observed (on-sky) position: $\theta = \theta_r \sin(\varphi)$ Observed (line-of-sight) velocity: $v = v_r \sin(\varphi)$ Observed (line-of-sight) acceleration: $a = a_r \cos(\varphi)$ 

$$v_r = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{\theta_r D}}$$
  $a_r = \frac{v_r^2}{\theta_r D} = \frac{GM}{\theta_r^2 D^2}$ 





Observed (on-sky) position: Observed (line-of-sight) velocity: Observed (line-of-sight) acceleration:  $\theta = \theta_r \sin(\varphi)$  $v = v_r \sin(\varphi)$  $a = a_r \cos(\varphi)$ 

$$v_r = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{\theta_r D}} \qquad a_r = \frac{v_r^2}{\theta_r D} = \frac{GM}{\theta_r D}$$





In practice, we include several additional parameters (besides just the mass and distance) during modeling to account for, e.g., velocity/position centroids, warping of the disk, and non-circular orbits

Recent work incorporating systematic uncertainties into the modeling has improved the distance measurements

E.g., when applied to the megamaser system in NGC 4258, the updated modeling approach decreases the distance uncertainty by a factor of ~2





The Megamaser Cosmology Project (MCP) uses the megamaser technique to make one-step distance measurements to AGN residing in the Hubble flow

#### The Megamaser Cosmology Project





Maser galaxies in the Hubble flow have distance measurements that are individually much less precise than that of NGC 4258. So to obtain the best constraint on the Hubble constant, we need to combine measurements from multiple galaxies.



The Megamaser Cosmology Project (MCP) uses the megamaser technique to make one-step distance measurements to AGN residing in the Hubble flow

To date, the MCP has determined distances to 5 megamaser-hosting AGN:

- UGC 3789 (Reid et al. 2009, Braatz et al. 2010, Reid et al. 2013)
- NGC 6264 (Kuo et al. 2013)
- NGC 6323 (Kuo et al. 2015)
- NGC 5765b (Gao et al. 2016)
- CGCG 074-064 (Pesce et al. 2020a)

Because the megamaser technique also precisely determines the line-of-sight redshift of each galaxy, we can use the combined distance+redshift measurements to constrain H<sub>0</sub>



We have combined the 5 MCP targets with NGC 4258 to produce maser-only constraints on  $H_0$ 

 the latest maser disk modeling formalism has been applied to each galaxy

We jointly fit the 6 distance  $(D_i)$  and redshift  $(z_i)$  measurements to a simple cosmological model:

$$D_i = \frac{c}{H_0(1+z_i)} \int_0^{z_i} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + (1-\Omega_m)}}$$

Assuming a 250 km/s peculiar velocity uncertainty for each galaxy, we determine  $H_0 = 73.9 \pm 3.0$  km/s/Mpc





**Peculiar velocities** 

- Though the statistical uncertainty in each galaxy's redshift is tiny (≤2 km/s), its systematic deviation from the Hubble flow is unknown
- <u>Mitigation</u>: explore a range of peculiar velocity prescriptions; incorporate peculiar velocities into the model as free parameters; increase sample size





**Peculiar velocities** 

- Though the statistical uncertainty in each galaxy's redshift is tiny (≤2 km/s), its systematic deviation from the Hubble flow is unknown
- <u>Mitigation</u>: explore a range of peculiar velocity prescriptions; incorporate peculiar velocities into the model as free parameters; increase sample size

Quality of distance measurements

- Typical uncertainties in megamaser distances are ~10%, compared to ≤10<sup>-5</sup> in redshift, and altogether they make up ~90% of the H<sub>0</sub> error budget
- <u>Mitigation</u>: next-generation facilities (e.g., ngVLA) operating at 22 GHz will provide ~an order of magnitude more sensitivity in both monitoring spectra and VLBI maps





**Peculiar velocities** 

- Though the statistical uncertainty in each galaxy's redshift is tiny (≤2 km/s), its systematic deviation from the Hubble flow is unknown
- <u>Mitigation</u>: explore a range of peculiar velocity prescriptions; incorporate peculiar velocities into the model as free parameters; increase sample size

Quality of distance measurements

- Typical uncertainties in megamaser distances are ~10%, compared to ≤10<sup>-5</sup> in redshift, and altogether they make up ~90% of the H<sub>0</sub> error budget
- <u>Mitigation</u>: next-generation facilities (e.g., ngVLA) operating at 22 GHz will provide ~an order of magnitude more sensitivity in both monitoring spectra and VLBI maps

Small sample size

- Currently only 6 maser sources are being used to constrain H<sub>0</sub>
- A comparable number (~4-6) have analyses ongoing
- <u>Mitigation</u>: leave-one-out jackknife tests; improved survey strategies are being developed (e.g., Kuo et al. 2020); next-generation facilities will see deeper and uncover fainter systems; (sub)mm water masers with ALMA (+ mm-VLBI) are being discovered and explored right now



H<sub>2</sub>O megamasers residing in AGN accretion disks provide unique and valuable tools for measuring the distances to their host galaxies

The Megamaser Cosmology Project (MCP) had previously discovered and determined distances towards 5 megamaser-hosting AGN

We have improved the maser disk modeling and applied the new scheme uniformly to all MCP targets along with the megamaser system in NGC 4258

Using the distance and velocity measurements from the maser modeling, we have fit a simple cosmological model and constrained the Hubble constant to  $H_0 = 73.9 \pm 3.0 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ 

- This constraint assumes a peculiar velocity uncertainty of 250 km/s associated with each galaxy
- Alternative peculiar velocity mitigation strategies have only modest (<1 $\sigma$ ) impacts

Future MCP H<sub>0</sub> constraints will incorporate distance measurements from additional megamaserhosting galaxies